UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,818	09/24/2003	Susan Heath Calvin Fletcher	2686/130	5194
2101 7590 09/20/2007 BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP			EXAMINER	
125 SUMMER	-		VILLECCO, JOHN M	
BOSTON, MA 02110-1618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
	,		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/669,818	FLETCHER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John M. Villecco	2622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Se	eptember 2007.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-52</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a),			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
_ , , , ,		on No			
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atont Application			
	•				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/669,818

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's terminal disclaimer filed September 5, 2007, with respect to claims 1-52 have been fully considered and has been approved. The non-statutory double patenting rejection of claims 1-52 has been withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant's arguments filed September 5, 2007 with regard to the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejections have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. More specifically, applicant has amended the claims to recite the limitation that the computer program product is on a tangible recording medium. Applicant contends that this amendment overcomes the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection. However the examiner believes that this limitation does not overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection of the previous office action. The word "tangible" can mean many different things. As assumedly intended by the applicant, the word "tangible" can mean "discernible by the touch", as per the American Heritage Dictionary definition. However, it can also mean "possible to understand or realize", as per the American Heritage Dictionary definition taken from the website <dictionary.com>. Clearly, a signal is capable of being understood.
- 3. This fact aside, it is noted that the applicant has claimed that the computer readable medium is tangible and not the computer program product. Page 12, line 12 of the specification clearly states that the computer program product not the computer readable medium is a signal. Thus, the definition of the phrase "tangible computer readable medium" is irrelevant since the specification states that the *computer program product* is a signal. This is clearly a violation of the 35 U.S.C. 101 statute as defined in the USPTO "Interim Guidelines for

Art Unit: 2622

Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV.

4. Therefore, the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection of claims 28-52 will be repeated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPO2d at 1035.

Claims that recite nothing but the physical characteristics of a form of energy, such as a frequency, voltage, or the strength of a magnetic field, define energy or magnetism, per se, and as such are nonstatutory natural phenomena. O'Reilly, 56 U.S. (15 How.) at 112-14. Moreover, it does not appear that a claim reciting a signal encoded with functional descriptive material falls within any of the categories of patentable subject matter set forth in Sec. 101.

- ... a signal does not fall within one of the four statutory classes of Sec. 101.
- ... signal claims are ineligible for patent protection because they do not fall within any of the four statutory classes of Sec. 101.
- 6. Claims 28-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claims 28-52 are drawn to a signal, since the specification clearly defines the computer program product to be a signal. A "signal" embodying functional descriptive material is neither a process nor a product (i.e., a tangible "thing") and therefore does not fall within one of the four statutory classes of § 101. Rather, a "signal" is a form of energy, in the absence of any physical structure or tangible material. Please see section

(c) of Annex IV of the "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1-27 are allowed.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claims 1 and 23, the primary reason for allowance is that the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest selecting a first pixel in a first frame having a given color, locating a second pixel in the second frame have the given color, and aligning the first frame and the second frame based upon the locations of the first and second pixels.

As for claim 14 and 22, the primary reason for allowance is that the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest selecting a first and last frame with at least one frame in between, selecting an initial pixel in first frame and a final pixel in the last frame, the initial pixel having a first pixel, the final pixel having a final color, determining an interpolated pixel for each from in between the frames, aligning the initial frame, final frame, and the at least one frame in between, based upon the locations of the initial pixel, final pixel and each interpolated pixel.

With regard to claims 24 and 25, the primary reason for allowance is that the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest interpolating between a color of a pixel in a first image and a color of a pixel in a third image to determine a searchable color, identifying a pixel having a color in a second image within a searchable range of the searchable color, repositioning the images such that the pixels from the first, second and third images are aligned.

Art Unit: 2622

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Villecco whose telephone number is (571) 272-7319. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John M. Villecco

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622

September 13, 2007